

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,392	BOUCHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW YANG	3775	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) ANDREW YANG. (3) _____.

(2) RICHARD KONNEKER. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 December 2009

Time: 1:20pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew Yang/
Examiner, Art Unit 3775

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called the attorney regarding the amendment of "nonremovably" and noted that the specification failed to support the amendment. It was cited in the applicant's remarks that page 5, line 30 of the specification supported the amendment. The attorney stated that the portion of the specification was wrongly cited and pointed to page 26, lines 4-6 that discloses heat bonding the stylet to the distal end of the second tubular member.